

## Metacat - Bug #1321

### Registry: Selection from project list is entered under organization name

02/05/2004 05:52 PM - Saurabh Garg

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	02/05/2004
<b>Priority:</b>	Immediate	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Saurabh Garg	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	registry	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	Postpone	<b>Spent time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Bugzilla-Id:</b>	1321		
<b>Description</b> <p>Selection from project list is entered under organization name. Maybe they should be entered under project title or something like that. I am setting P1 as priority on this because it will decide the way the data collected during NCEAS run will be stored and displayed later.</p> <p>From Rick: In the DATA SET ORIGINATOR section, Organization Name field, if this field is left blank, then when the metadata is later displayed as the result of a Data Search, the selected NCEAS project name is displayed in the Organization display field.</p> <p>From Andrea: When displaying results of a search, quite often the organization column lists information that is not the organization. For example, it displayed this: "Prince: Global Primary Production Data Initiative (NCEAS 2017)" when the organization was actually entered for that data set as Dept. for Production Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences.</p> <p>From Andrea: On the search results page, the record of interest was displayed incorrectly. The display had one of the people I had entered under Additional Originators (role: originator) listed under the Contacts column, even though they were not a contact, and their contact info was never even entered. However, when clicking on the title of the record and viewing the Data Set Description page, the contact listed is the correct one.</p>			

### History

#### #1 - 02/09/2004 10:53 AM - Matt Jones

This was intentional behavior. Mark S. and I discussed at length where the NCEAS WG affiliation should go, and decided the most logical place was creator/organizationName. At this point I am not inclined to change it, especially as we don't have a better place to put it. But if it continues to be a counter-intuitive thing, we might reconsider.

#### #2 - 03/31/2004 01:34 PM - Saurabh Garg

Not a bug but a feature.

#### #3 - 03/27/2013 02:16 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 1321