

## SEEK - Bug #2194

### Revise resultset.xsd in ecogrid

09/12/2005 02:45 PM - Jing Tao

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	09/12/2005
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Jing Tao	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	ecogrid	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	ecogrid-1.0.0beta2	<b>Spent time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Bugzilla-Id:</b>	2194		
<b>Description</b>			
<p>Currently in query.xsd we only have one namespace for both document itself and returnField. But in resultset.xsd, there are two namespace specification - one is in resultsetMetadata for return document, the other is in recordStructure (which can specify different namespace in retrunField). So query schema doesn't match resultset schema.</p> <p>After dicussion among matt, kevin and jing, we dicided to revise resultset schema and keep query schema.</p> <p>Here is the modification need to be done:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Remove the optional attribute - namespace from element recordStructure.</li><li>2) Elemet recordStructure will be required (1 - 1).</li><li>3) Element returnField wil be optional (0 - many).</li></ol>			

### History

#### #1 - 09/12/2005 03:28 PM - Matt Jones

Actually, I think we agreed it makes more sense to remove the namespace **element** and keep the namespace **attribute** that is par tof recordStructure, because it accurately reflects how to interpret the recordStructure fields. We also need to decide what to do with the id field, and whether its use is required, or whether people can continue using "name" attributes in the returnfield elements of their records.

We also agreed that recordStructure would have cardinality 1:1, containing 0 or more returnFields. Maybe we should state that there should never be a returnfield in the record elements that is not listed in the recordStructure?

#### #2 - 11/29/2005 11:50 AM - Matt Jones

Done. Full changes are in the axis-only-branch and will be merged with the trunk soon.

#### #3 - 03/27/2013 02:19 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 2194