# InfoVeg - Bug #2619

# Systematic error in determination of nominals

10/27/2006 04:00 PM - Michael Lee

Status: Closed Start date: 10/27/2006

Priority: Immediate Due date:

Assignee: Xianhua Liu % Done: 0%

Category: atlas Estimated time: 0.00 hour

Target version:UnspecifiedSpent time:0.00 hour

# Bugzilla-ld: Description

Carya ovata Weakley? Carya ovata nominal should be

2619

Carya ovata Weakley < Carya ovata nominal.

Perhaps this error is because Carya ovata Weakley >= Carya ovata F and your code does not know what to do? We want the largest possible concept for a nominal concept, so because Carya ovata Weakley < Carya ovata FNA, Carya ovata Weakley < Carya ovata Nominal.

### **History**

#### #1 - 10/27/2006 04:12 PM - Michael Lee

This bug is originally from "other ideas and observations from Peet and Weakley"

#### #2 - 10/31/2006 09:37 PM - Xianhua Liu

Not really. The reason is that there is relationship:

Carya ovata (Alan Weakley) < Carya ovata (FNA)

Xlanhua

#### #3 - 11/01/2006 02:17 AM - Robert Peet

This is closely related to bug 2627. In both cases the key issue is that nominal concepts must be either > or = all other concepts based on the same name. In short, the routine that generated the nominal concepts has a bug such that we have ? or | relationships rather than the correct Weakley < nominal relationship. This needs to be fixed throughout the database of relationships.

## #4 - 11/05/2006 02:47 PM - Xianhua Liu

fixed. same as 2627.

# #5 - 11/05/2006 10:53 PM - Robert Peet

verified fixed

## #6 - 03/27/2013 02:20 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 2619

04/18/2024 1/1