

InfoVeg - Bug #2627

Nominal concepts should not overlap Weakley concepts

10/27/2006 04:04 PM - Michael Lee

Status:	Closed	Start date:	10/27/2006
Priority:	Immediate	Due date:	
Assignee:	Xianhua Liu	% Done:	0%
Category:	atlas	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	Unspecified	Spent time:	0.00 hour
Bugzilla-Id:	2627		

Description

Continuing on the Sporobolus pinetorum example, there are some problems determining and mapping nominals where the mapping of a specific concept to Weakley involves an overlaps (|) relationship. Because Weakley has the relationship S. pinetorum Weakley | S. teretifolius RAB, the nominal is displayed as S. pinetorum Weakley | S. teretifolius nominal, but in as much as nominals are supposed to be the largest possible interpretation, the relationship should be Weakley < S. teretifolius nominal.

History

#1 - 10/27/2006 04:12 PM - Michael Lee

This bug is originally from "other ideas and observations from Peet and Weakley"

#2 - 10/31/2006 09:51 PM - Xianhua Liu

The relationship of Weakley's concept to a nominal concept is inferred based on all possible relationships to the concepts using the same name. Since there is only one relationship: S. pinetorum Weakley | S. teretifolius RAB, the most precise inferring result we can make is S. pinetorum Weakley | S. teretifolius nominal. What do you think?

#3 - 11/01/2006 02:09 AM - Robert Peet

I reiterate that I think the definition of the nominal concept should be that concept that includes all the other concepts that are linked to the name in question. Thus, a nominal can never overlap with any other concept that is based on the same name.

#4 - 11/05/2006 02:17 PM - Xianhua Liu

fixed

#5 - 11/05/2006 10:50 PM - Robert Peet

verified fixed

#6 - 03/27/2013 02:20 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 2627