

InfoVeg - Bug #2636

Better labels for ambiguous occurrences

11/01/2006 01:35 AM - Robert Peet

Status:	Closed	Start date:	11/01/2006
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Xianhua Liu	% Done:	0%
Category:	atlas	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	Unspecified	Spent time:	0.00 hour
Bugzilla-Id:	2636		
Description			
This is an upgrade; not an error.			
Ambiguous identifications have a legend entry that states the name that was found ambiguous. For example, <i>Carya glabra</i> in NCU is ambiguous and has a legend notation of "Ambiguous identification: <i>Carya glabra</i> ". It would be far more informative if the legend were to read "Ambiguous identification: <i>Carya glabra</i> OR <i>Carya ovalis</i> ". (I realize that when all sources are on one sees "Ambiguous identification: <i>Carya glabra</i> , <i>Carya ovalis</i> ", but this still refers to the labels on the specimens and not the concepts according to Weakley. In effect, I am suggesting we label ambiguous ids by Weakley concept lists, whereas we keep the other ids linked to the names in the databases from which they derive.			

History

#1 - 11/01/2006 06:48 AM - Robert Peet

Peter White writes:
I wonder if it should be *Carya glabra* AND/OR *Carya ovalis*. If the record is based on lumping, but splitting is the standard, couldn't both taxa be present in a county? That is, a county dot map represents more than one specimen. Obviously one specimen has almost never two species, except when a collector has mounted two twigs on one sheet thinking they are the same, but they are not (I have seen this myself).

RKP responds:
Good idea. I think this expresses the situation more clearly.

However, I have some concerns.
1. AND/OR takes up more valuable real estate.
2. Maps are from the perspective of a single taxon, so we don't really care if both are present in the county -- that can be deduced from looking at the other map.

Let's see if Xianhua thinks there is space enough. Could get complex with something like *Andropogon virginicus* where several taxa have to be concatenated for the ambiguous Radford counties.

#2 - 03/13/2007 06:08 PM - xianhua liu

I guess this issue has been resolved by listing individual sources for ambiguous records instead of lumping them in one group.

#3 - 03/31/2007 10:03 AM - Robert Peet

fixed

#4 - 03/27/2013 02:20 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 2636