

InfoVeg - Bug #2638

Ambiguous records should have details of ambiguity clickable

11/01/2006 07:35 AM - Robert Peet

Status:	New	Start date:	11/01/2006
Priority:	Low	Due date:	
Assignee:	Robert Peet	% Done:	0%
Category:	atlas	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	Unspecified	Spent time:	0.00 hour
Bugzilla-Id:	2638		

Description

Discussion from Peter White with RKP responses:

Makes we wonder if we need to parse the kinds of ambiguity. Is there more than one kind of ambiguity? The simplest case would be:

Mostly I think in terms of only one kind of ambiguity. Forced to think more broadly, I think we can contrast nominal identifications where multiple taxa might be included, versus more constrained ambiguity where the record derives from the work of only one author

Definitive lumping. This is the case where a map of *Carya glabra* includes by definition locations that might be *Carya ovalis*. It is not ambiguous in the sense that the author (say RAB) came to conclusion they werent' different species. Our standard disagrees, but RAB simply didn't believe *C. ovalis* was real. In that case the record would be *Carya glabra* (includes *C. ovalis*).

Or *Carya glabra* ([click here for included taxa](#)).

If the line read:

Carya glabra ([click for included taxa](#))

The color on the map would still stay ambiguous record

The definition of ambiguous would indicate what kinds of ambiguity exist. you could have multiple entries from the concept map--as in the *Andropogon* case--without listing them next to the map.

I think I will add a low priority bug to allow clicking to see details of ambiguity. The details will need to be fleshed out later.

History

#1 - 03/04/2007 07:13 AM - Robert Peet

Postpone to next funding cycle

#2 - 03/27/2013 02:20 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 2638