

InfoVeg - Bug #2666

ready for DBA: Project 76 (Elizabeth City, NC): 52 plots

11/13/2006 09:48 AM - Michael Lee

Status:	New	Start date:	11/13/2006
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Michael Lee	% Done:	0%
Category:	DataPrep	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	2009-June	Spent time:	0.00 hour
Bugzilla-Id:	2666		
Description this data needs to be processed and added to the (v2006) central archive (Forbes writing: For now, I would suggest that you use plots from Project 75 and 76 for error checking. These plots have been "hand-checked" as precisely as possible last week to avoid any major hang ups on your end. They can be found at: \\Bioark\peetlab\CVS\CVS_Projects\76_2006B-ElizabethCity\CVS_EEP_DataEntry_v20_Project76			
Related issues: Blocked by InfoVeg - Bug #2867: Reverse Migrate New archive data into old arc... Resolved 06/07/2007			

History

#1 - 06/22/2007 11:12 AM - Michael Lee

imported into new data entry tool version 2.1.0

ignored 2 errors about height range and total cover missing for strata
ignored 1 X-axis missing error
fixed one plot that had stems on module 2 which was the same as module R.
ignored plots without stems (15)
fixed one plot that used "S" stratum but they meant "Aquatic submerged" not shrub.

8 ERRORS REMAIN:
5 location accuracy values are missing, though the max for the project is 50, which we could fill in (76-02-1024,76-02-1028,76-04-1030,76-06-1025,76-06-1026)
2 stems have no info about them on 1-1030 (Arun. tecta, mod 9 and Q. laurifolia, also mod 9) -- herb team found Arundinaria on mod 9, but not Quercus laurifolia, though it was on the plot.
1 stem has no info on 4-1030 (Decumaria barbara , also mod 9) - could we assume one tiniest size stem? herb team found it on same mod.

#2 - 06/25/2007 07:12 AM - Forbes Boyle

(In reply to comment #1)

imported into new data entry tool version 2.1.0

ignored 2 errors about height range and total cover missing for strata

WHICH PLOTS ARE THESE?? "FB"

ignored 1 X-axis missing error WHICH PLOT IS THIS?? "FB"
fixed one plot that had stems on module 2 which was the same as module R.
ignored plots without stems (15)
fixed one plot that used "S" stratum but they meant "Aquatic submerged" not shrub.

8 ERRORS REMAIN:
5 location accuracy values are missing, though the max for the project is 50, which we could fill in (76-02-1024, 76-02-1028,76-04-1030,76-06-1025,76-06-1026)

76-02-1024 = 10 m
76-02-1028 = 50 m
76-04-1030 = 50 m
76-06-1025 = 10 m
76-06-1026 = 10 m
"FB"

2 stems have no info about them on 1-1030 (Arun. tecta, mod 9 and Q. laurifolia, also mod 9) -- herb team found Arundinaria on mod 9, but not Quercus laurifolia, though it was on the plot.

MAJOR ERROR---THERE WAS AN ENTIRE LINE SKIPPED IN THE STEM ENTRY FOR MODULE 9 OF THIS PLOT. I HAVE FIXED THE ERROR IN THE ENTRY DATA BASE FILE: \\Bioark\peetlab\CVS\CVS_Projects\76_2006B-ElizabethCity\76-Data_v210 IS THIS OUR COPY OF RECORD LOCATION??? "FB"
I ADDED QUERCUS LAURIFOLIA TO MODULE 9 (1311), ASSUMING THIS SPECIES WAS OVERLOOKED BY THE COVER DATA RECORDERS BUT OBSERVED BY THE WOODY STEM RECORDERS.
"FB"

1 stem has no info on 4-1030 (Decumaria barbara , also mod 9) - could we assume one tiniest size stem? herb team found it on same mod.

YES. I MADE THE CHANGE. "FB"

#3 - 06/25/2007 08:45 AM - Michael Lee

(In reply to comment [#2](#))

(In reply to comment [#1](#))

imported into new data entry tool version 2.1.0

ignored 2 errors about height range and total cover missing for strata

WHICH PLOTS ARE THESE?? "FB"

ML: I can't access Elymus now to access this data, as PEL is logged in, but you can "View errors" from the Data Entry tab to see what errors there are. When I say "ignore" I don't mean the Entry tool's ignore function, I just left the error there.

ignored 1 X-axis missing error WHICH PLOT IS THIS?? "FB"

ML: ditto as above

fixed one plot that had stems on module 2 which was the same as module R.
ignored plots without stems (15)
fixed one plot that used "S" stratum but they meant "Aquatic submerged" not shrub.

8 ERRORS REMAIN:

5 location accuracy values are missing, though the max for the project is 50, which we could fill in (76-02-1024, 76-02-1028,76-04-1030,76-06-1025,76-06-1026)

76-02-1024 = 10 m
76-02-1028 = 50 m
76-04-1030 = 50 m
76-06-1025 = 10 m
76-06-1026 = 10 m
"FB"

ML: I can fill these in when I next log into project 76.

2 stems have no info about them on 1-1030 (Arun. tecta, mod 9 and Q. laurifolia, also mod 9) -- herb team found Arundinaria on mod 9, but not Quercus laurifolia, though it was on the plot.

MAJOR ERROR---THERE WAS AN ENTIRE LINE SKIPPED IN THE STEM ENTRY FOR MODULE 9 OF THIS PLOT. I HAVE FIXED THE ERROR IN THE ENTRY DATA BASE FILE:
\\Bioark\peetlab\CVS\CVS_Projects\76_2006B-ElizabethCity\76-Data_v210
IS THIS OUR COPY OF RECORD LOCATION??? "FB"

ML: Yes, it is. Thanks for fixing that. This is certainly the type thing that should have been caught in the hand-error checking, but nothing's perfect.

I ADDED QUERCUS LAURIFOLIA TO MODULE 9 (1311), ASSUMING THIS SPECIES WAS OVERLOOKED BY THE COVER DATA RECORDERS BUT OBSERVED BY THE WOODY STEM RECORDERS.
"FB"

ML: agreed. There will be more issues like this, I suspect. I guess you really filled in 1313, not 1311??

1 stem has no info on 4-1030 (Decumaria barbara , also mod 9) - could we assume one tiniest size stem? herb team found it on same mod.

YES. I MADE THE CHANGE. "FB"

ML: great. We could add in the notes that we did this, I suppose for that row.

I think the only errors remaining now are location accuracy, which I can't fill in at the moment, but will when I get to the databases next.

#4 - 07/02/2007 03:16 PM - Michael Lee

Data errors have been resolved. Moving on to DBA process and Spp check.

#5 - 01/29/2009 12:59 PM - Michael Lee

milestone revamping requires moving bugs to milestones that are in the future

#6 - 02/03/2009 08:48 AM - Michael Lee

the data prep bugs are waiting on taxonomic IDs before we can migrate, so I am marking these as things I'm not currently working on.

#7 - 03/27/2013 02:20 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 2666