

## InfoVeg - Bug #2942

### Nominal concepts -- the case of Quercus falcata & implications

09/08/2007 12:53 PM - Robert Peet

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	09/08/2007
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Xianhua Liu	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	atlas	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	Unspecified	<b>Spent time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Bugzilla-Id:</b>	2942		
<b>Description</b>			
<p>Quercus falcata and Q rubra are distinct taxa and do not overlap in anyone's treatments. Nonetheless, the map of Q falcata shows all collections of Q rubra in NCU as unambiguously assigned to Q. falcata.</p> <p>The problem is because the relationships page shows 17 Q falcata W = Q rubra nominal. This is incorrect! What is the error in the determination of nominals.</p> <p>Part of the problem is 9 Q falcata W = Q rubra small. This would explain why relationship 17 should be &lt;, but not =. Something needs to change so that the relationship generated is &lt;.</p> <p>A second part of the problem is that we know the Small relationship is unlikely to be the source of errors in the names on collections. IS there some way insert a requirement that relationship 9 not be used in determining the nominal relationships, short of simply deleting the relationship? What options do you see that are practical.</p>			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Has duplicate InfoVeg - Bug #2842: Incorrect mapping of nominal concepts		<b>New</b>	<b>05/14/2007</b>

### History

#### #1 - 09/08/2007 12:56 PM - Robert Peet

duplicate of 2842

- This bug has been marked as a duplicate of 2842 \*\*\*

#### #2 - 09/08/2007 12:56 PM - Robert Peet

dup

#### #3 - 03/27/2013 02:21 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 2942