

## EML - Bug #3504

### problems with methods trees

09/30/2008 04:36 PM - Margaret O'Brien

<b>Status:</b>	New	<b>Start date:</b>	09/30/2008
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Matt Jones	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	eml - general bugs	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	Postpone	<b>Spent time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Bugzilla-Id:</b>	3504		

#### Description

Some aspects of methods trees present barriers to authors and to readability.

1. methodStep is required, even though the author may be describing sampling (a sibling to methodStep). The requirement could be altered by changing the model from a sequence to a sequence followed by choice. Some backward incompatibility might occur.
2. In general, methodStep was intended to be human-readable and mimic some degree of natural language (rather than to be machine-parsable). However some methods elements are not organized intuitively.
3. instrumentation: some limitations of the instrumentation tree have been observed. sensorML is now available (<http://www.opengeospatial.org/standards/sensorml>) and should be considered in any enhancements in this area.

#### History

#1 - 10/01/2008 04:36 PM - Margaret O'Brien

these general bugs targeted "postpone"

#2 - 03/27/2013 02:23 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 3504