# Kepler - Bug #4562

# report seems to exist in MOML workflows when kar of same name exists in a local repository

11/19/2009 02:49 PM - Oliver Soong

| Status:         | Resolved    | Start date:     | 11/19/2009 |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|
| Priority:       | Normal      | Due date:       |            |
| Assignee:       | debi staggs | % Done:         | 0%         |
| Category:       | reporting   | Estimated time: | 0.00 hour  |
| Target version: | Unspecified | Spent time:     | 0.00 hour  |
| Bugzilla-ld:    | 4562        |                 |            |

#### Description

Create a workflow, save it with some basename (e.g., test.xml), then create a report and save it with the same basename (e.g., test.xml). Open the xml file. No report. Add the folder containing the kar as a local repository. Open the xml file. There should be a report.

At the moment, the local repository is not being displayed, as per bug 4559.

#### History

#### #1 - 11/19/2009 03:09 PM - ben leinfelder

Yeah - so right now the KARs in the local repository are being cached - including the report.

The report is associated with the workflow.

The workflow has the same LSID no matter if it is a stand-alone xml file or inside a kar.

So no matter which format of the workflow you open, you'll get the report layout from the cache.

#### #2 - 11/21/2009 09:12 AM - ben leinfelder

While I can see this as odd-looking, I think it ultimately makes sense. KARs in this context are just packaging and can certainly contain different combinations of the exact same objects.

# #3 - 11/22/2009 11:37 AM - Oliver Soong

So what happens if I want to have 2 reports for the same workflow? If I want to generate a new report for a workflow KAR that already exists in the cache, I have to go through and delete all the items in the report? Export the MOML, then remove the KAR from the cache? What if I add a local repository containing two separate KARs with the same MOML but different ROMLs? Basically, I don't think MOML-ROML is necessarily 1:1.

### #4 - 11/22/2009 07:49 PM - ben leinfelder

Very good point. The intent was to support 1:n layouts - but that requirement got has been pushed to a later phase.

For the case where you develop another report for the same workflow, you should be able to open the KAR from a non-local repository location and it will use the layout given in that KAR you just opened.

But, yes, if you want to make a completely empty layout for an existing workflow that is in your local repository location (with existing layout) you'll have to remove the report items one by one.

# #5 - 03/27/2013 02:27 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 4562

03/13/2024 1/1