Kepler - Bug #5068

Investigate jars (why they are necessary) and upgrade whenever possible.

06/30/2010 08:47 PM - David Welker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>New</th>
<th>Start date:</th>
<th>06/30/2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority:</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Due date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignee:</td>
<td>David Welker</td>
<td>% Done:</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category:</td>
<td>general</td>
<td>Estimated time:</td>
<td>0.00 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target version:</td>
<td>2.X.Y</td>
<td>Spent time:</td>
<td>0.00 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bugzilla-Id:</td>
<td>5068</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description

(4) Investigate jars (why they are necessary) and upgrade whenever possible.

It is better for us to make the latest jars available, so that Kepler developers can easily use the latest functionality. The assumption is that later versions are usually better than older versions. Also, we should have a better understanding of the interrelationship between jars and code.

Related issues:

Blocked by Kepler - Bug #5064: Kepler 2.1 Tracking Bug

Status: New
Start date: 06/30/2010

History

#1 - 07/06/2010 06:02 PM - Christopher Brooks

Updating the 3rd part jars is a laudable goal and a good practice, but quite a bit of work. I'm not sure if we have the resources to go through each jar file and update it. Having more tests that exercise the old and new behaviour would help.

#2 - 07/07/2010 10:27 AM - Christopher Brooks

As per Kepler leadership discussion, moving this to 2.X.Y. Note that we will have Kepler devel telcon to discuss 2.1, so I'm leaving this as blocking 5064.

#3 - 03/27/2013 02:29 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 5068