

## Morpho - Bug #5839

### Save for later may add duplicate 'codeDefinition' elements without defining the code

01/30/2013 01:57 PM - ben leinfelder

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	01/30/2013
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Jing Tao	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	morpho - general	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	2.0.0	<b>Spent time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Bugzilla-Id:</b>	5839		

#### Description

Shirley Han got this error message for a package she was editing.

Problem with saving to metacat in EML200DataPackage!

```
<?xml version="1.0"?><error>cvc-complex-type.2.4.b: The content of element 'codeDefinition' is not complete. One of '{definition}' is expected.</error>
```

It had some wide dataTables and she had used the "save for later" option during the attribute documentation process.

I looked at the EML file and saw that many codeDefinition elements had been added but did not contain the <definition> element, only the <code> was present. I am not sure how this was introduced or if it was even because of the "save for later" feature. example snippet from EML: -----

```
<enumeratedDomain>                                <codeDefinition>
<code>No</code>                                     </codeDefinition>          <codeDefinition>
<code>Yes</code>                                    </codeDefinition>          <codeDefinition>
<code>No</code>                                     </codeDefinition>          <codeDefinition>
<code>Yes</code>                                    </codeDefinition>          <codeDefinition>
<code>No</code>                                     <definition>No, the course is not required</definition>
</codeDefinition>                                  <codeDefinition>          <code>Yes</code>
<definition>Yes, the course is required</definition>
</enumeratedDomain>
```

#### History

##### #1 - 01/31/2013 11:32 AM - Jing Tao

I tried to save an incomplete eml document with the code definition. Then I opened it and continued to work on it. I could save it successfully into the network - so there is no duplicate "codeDefinition" without defining the code.

So I can't reproduce the bug. Ben, do you have more information.

##### #2 - 01/31/2013 02:37 PM - ben leinfelder

Unfortunately there's nothing more to go on. We'll just keep an eye out for it again.

##### #3 - 03/27/2013 02:31 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 5839