

## VegBank - Bug #716

### Mechanism to add new plants:Insert business rules

11/13/2002 01:45 PM - Michael Lee

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	11/13/2002
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Michael Lee	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	userLoad	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	DontKnowWhen	<b>Spent time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Bugzilla-Id:</b>	716		
<b>Description</b>			
Who? These pertain to irregular taxa			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Has duplicate VegBank - Bug #720: Party Perspective Management (plant/comm):Ge...		<b>New</b>	<b>11/13/2002</b>

### History

#### #1 - 04/19/2003 05:20 AM - Robert Peet

Mike has proposed:

- 1) at least one plant Status must be supplied for each plant Concept.
- 2) at least one plant Usage must be supplied for each plant Status.

I suggest the following revisions and additions

1) We should wire the system so that the default for the status is accepted by the party creating the concept.

2) We should wire the system to have a default usage of the name used to create the concept, and call this the scientific name with authority system

This means that the required usage must be for the Scientific Name with authority system, or we need to devise a hierarchy for reporting names when the requested system is not populated. Seems like we will need a hierarchy for reporting names when the usage is not provided for a requested system. For example, if you ask for Sci name and it is missing, then you should get the sci name with authors. I suggest the following hierarchies as business rules:

Scientific name w/authority (requested)  
Scientific name w/o  
English common name  
Code

Scientific name w/o (requested)  
Scientific name w/authority  
English common name  
Code

English common name (requested)  
Scientific name w/o  
Scientific name w/authority  
Code

Code (requested)  
Scientific name w/o  
Scientific name w/authority  
English common name

Do we need additional business rules?

I am having trouble thinking of any today. Here is the only one that comes to mind, and it will require adding a field to the names table indicating that the name is consistent with the IPNI list. What I would like is for all new names added to be checked against the IPNI list by VegBank. This will require that we either populate our names table with the perhaps one million names in IPNI, or we store them as a separate table for validation purposes, or that we use some as yet probably nonexistent web service from IPNI. I have just sent an email to IPNI asking about these things, with copies to Mike, Gabe & Don.

**#2 - 04/19/2003 05:26 AM - Robert Peet**

One additional business rule.

We should limit this activity to certified users. This would be level 2 and higher.

**#3 - 11/22/2003 12:01 AM - Michael Lee**

can't load plants via web yet. This applies more to that situation. VegBranch checks for rules before exporting to XML.

**#4 - 02/10/2005 05:18 PM - Michael Lee**

fda

- This bug has been marked as a duplicate of 720 \*\*\*

**#5 - 03/27/2013 02:15 PM - Redmine Admin**

Original Bugzilla ID was 716