

VegBank - Bug #852

When register, link to party & then update

11/13/2002 01:59 PM - Michael Lee

Status:	Resolved	Start date:	11/13/2002
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Gabriel Farrell	% Done:	0%
Category:	misc	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	1.1.0	Spent time:	0.00 hour
Bugzilla-Id:	852		
Description			
RKP - Status??			
Related issues:			
Blocked by VegBank - Bug #1073: Allow Users to edit Entities that they own		New	05/22/2003

History

#1 - 01/08/2003 09:06 PM - John Harris

No idea what this bug is?

#2 - 01/09/2003 10:08 AM - Michael Lee

When a person registers, this is supposed to either make certain that there exists an appropriate party in the party table, and that when a registered party edits his or her registration data, that updates any necessary fields in the party table. his bug should remain until fixed or we jointly agree we do not need it.

#3 - 01/09/2003 10:34 AM - Michael Lee

Bug is really divided into 2 Subparts.

Subpart 1:

Essentially, what we have here is that all new users who sign up for a VegBank account (i.e. a new user in the USERS database, logging in via <http://vegbank.nceas.ucsb.edu/vegbank/general/login.html> or some such page) will be added as a new record in the PARTY table (only the one party table, in the plots module of the db). All their info that they gave the USER database should be added to the tables: party, telephone, and address. Note that these parties SHOULD NOT BE ADDED TO plantparty or commParty.

Subpart 2 :

When a user edits their USER information in the USER database via <http://vegbank.nceas.ucsb.edu/framework/servlet/usermanagement?action=changeusersettings>, then it would be nice to capture these changes and update their information in the party, address, and telephone tables of VegBank.

Technical note about above revisions:

Since we are trying to maintain a perfectly archived database, the updated user information should work like this:

1) The party table information (web: name and institution = party.surname, party.givenname and party.organizationName) should be updated (if changed) and the appropriate old data stored in the revision table.

2) The address table information (Address, City, State, Country, Zip Code) could work one of two ways: (Let's go with B for now for simplicity)

--A) insert a new record into address (for an address that is really new, i.e. the person moved) and make the new record TRUE for address.currentflag and startyDate = Now() -- then make the old record FALSE for address.currentflag
--B) update the old address record field(s) (for an address that is still the same, only the zip code or city was spelled wrong) and insert the old data into the revision table of VegBank.

3) The telephone table should get telephone.phoneNumber updated and the old number inserted into revision.

4) Some parties may not have records in address or telephone. If they add an address or telephone to their VegBank USER profile, then a new record will have to be added to these tables. The only sticky point is the required field telephone.phoneType, which will have to default to "Not specified"

#4 - 01/14/2003 04:31 PM - Michael Lee

from Bob:

1. There is the problem that there are party attributes that a user needs to be able to update and which are not on the profile page that the user edits. This should be added.

That is, the party table has attributes not on the "edit profile" form. We need to either add these fields to that form, or we need to make a new form for people to edit any party that they are the owner of.

#5 - 01/14/2003 04:52 PM - Michael Lee

Fields need to be added to the "edit profile" form to include:

all fields marked with a blue # on the form:

<http://tekka.nceas.ucsb.edu/forms/certification.html>

#6 - 04/29/2003 04:50 PM - Michael Lee

Comments really from Bob, on bug 803: [lee comments in brackets]

We need some business rules here. Ownership is by a registered user. We currently track registered users as unique by email address. We do not require email for a party (we do not always know an email). How do we link registered users with parties? And, how do we affect the transfer of ownership from a second-party creator to a registered user who wants to take over his own party?

I suggest:

1. We move to primary key as the unique identifier for a registered user so that email is no longer the unique identifier. Upon logging in the registered user should be able to edit his/her email address, just like other user attributes.

[MTL - I like the idea of allowing users to change their email, provided the email address they specify is not already on the system. Email would still be the logon]

2. A new user when registering will create a new party by default. That user can then send an email to the system manager requesting that one or more extant parties be synonymized with the new party just created. This would require that the manager reset part[y]:currentName_ID to point at the user's party record. This also suggests a new function needed in the management interface.

3. We remove or minimize the redundancy between the user registration table and the party table. We don't want name, organization, address, etc stored in both places.

[MTL - this also brings to mind that we currently have 4 party tables: party, plantParty, commParty, referenceParty - perhaps simplifying to 1 would make linking parties to parties/users more simple. And reduce redudancy.]

#7 - 05/16/2003 04:47 PM - Gabriel Farrell

There is quite a lot of work here if I am to address all of your comments...

Right now I have this working without revisions, comment #4 and comment #6 issues

So do you really want the implimentation of revisions for version 1, do we want comment #6 and comment #4 points implemented in Version 1????

At least give me a sense of priority and please stop piggybacking bugs onto other bugs ;).

#8 - 05/20/2003 02:38 PM - Gabriel Farrell

This is fixed for version one as I understand it.

Comment#6 point 1 is done but revisions, comment [#4](#) and most of comment [#6](#) are not.

#9 - 05/23/2003 03:19 PM - Michael Lee

OK, this works now. The email of the user matches party.email. For this to always work, we will need to add all extant users to the party table. Once this is done, we will be good to go and this bug can be marked resolved.

#10 - 06/09/2003 02:48 PM - Gabriel Farrell

Party table has been manually populated from using the user_info table of the framework database, finishing the work need for this bug.

#11 - 01/31/2005 02:54 PM - Michael Lee

changed from components that are to be deleted to "misc" so that bugs don't get deleted with component. Sorry for all the email.

#12 - 03/27/2013 02:15 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 852