

VegBank - Bug #961

Required Fields for VegBank -- show questionable ones

01/23/2003 11:09 AM - Michael Lee

Status:	Resolved	Start date:	01/23/2003
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Robert Peet	% Done:	0%
Category:	misc	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	1.1.0	Spent time:	0.00 hour
Bugzilla-Id:	961		
Description			
This bug is for Lee to show the PI's the fields in VegBank that are required that may not need to be required. Attached are 2 lists that do that.			

History

#4 - 01/23/2003 11:16 AM - Michael Lee

the attachments of this bug are .csv files that are roughly viewable when clicking them, otherwise can be saved and viewed in Excel or 1-2-3. The essential issues are: If a user has no cover (only stems), then s/he has no autoTaxonCover or cover method, etc. Similarly, if a user has no strata observed, then no stratumMethod should be specified. Also, if plot is public, there is no confidentialityReason; "plotless" plots (unbounded) will have no area.

This bug should be reassigned to farrell after PI's have decided which fields should stay required and which should be moved to non-required. then Farrell changes db implementation to allow plots without the field(s) that have changed to non-required (nulls=yes).

#5 - 04/15/2003 01:10 PM - Michael Lee

this has been fixed with questionable field going to non-required (nulls=yes). A list of business rules has been started to cover the bulk of the situations, which should have most of the fields as required.

#6 - 01/31/2005 02:53 PM - Michael Lee

changed from components that are to be deleted to "misc" so that bugs don't get deleted with component. Sorry for all the email.

#7 - 03/27/2013 02:15 PM - Redmine Admin

Original Bugzilla ID was 961

Files

reqdFields_check_brief.csv	923 Bytes	01/23/2003	Michael Lee
reqdFields_check.csv	4.91 KB	01/23/2003	Michael Lee
reqdFields.csv	23.8 KB	01/23/2003	Michael Lee